SECRET NOFORN

Approved For Release 2003/04/22 : CIA-RDP81B00401R002

0110045-8

1 February 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: [Director of Central Intelligence	
FROM : [PB/NSC Coordination Staff 25%	X 1
SUBJECT : I	NFIB Discussion of Status of Analytical Efforts on the Soviet Brigade in Cuba and Related Issues	
attached paper (To about the state of prepare for that to of the paper and	nd of the next NFIB meeting you will be discussing the ab A) prepared by NFAC in response to your questions f the Community's analytical effort on the brigade. To 25% meeting, I suggest you review the highlighted portions read"Notes" on our handling of the	
2. The foll points you might	owing are some major issues and suggested talking want to consider discussing at the NFIB meeting:	
a. What Sovi	program of research should now be undertaken on et activities in Cuba (and on the Cuban military)?	
-T	he NFAC paper (Tab A) to which you all contributed as very helpful.	
-S . t	suggests certain other lines of analytical pursuit hat would be worthwhile for all to undertake. e.g:	
	-The hypothesis in response to question 5b that "the Soviets may now be less concerned with US sensitivities about their activities in Cuba" may be a good starting point. What would the dynamics of the Soviet-Cuban relationship likely be if this proves to be the case?	
	-Have already asked NFAC to work on an analysis comparing the configuration of the Soviet brigade with Cuban units sent abroad. An in-depth look at Soviet brigades and forward area units (such as used in Afghanistan) would be equally valuable. (DIA to do?)	
b. Inte	eragency communication	
– C n	Glad to know that major review will take place next 25% month under sponsorship of NIO/USSR-EE.	< 1
	ORIGINAL CUSY	

Approved For Release 2003/04/22 : CIA-RDP81B00401R0024001100045-8

SECRET NOFORN

SECRET NOFORN

that you intended to mention at the Community breakfas on 24 January which was cancelled.)

25X1

3. Additional actions you might want to consider taking: The response to the questions you posed indicate a heavy reliance on current intelligence to signal when in-depth analytical effort should be undertaken (see answer to question 7). Consequently, thesis--"tardy identification (of the brigade) resulted less...from inadequate collecties X1A than from inadequate analysis"--could well continue to be true today unless the Community develops a strategy for research (and improvement of analytical methods). Perhaps a follow-up memo to Bruce Clarke, along the following lines, would be useful as a means of getting NFAC to begin to frame a research strategy:

"Your responses to my questions on the Soviet brigade in Cuba were very helpful and the paper you prepared will get our discussion at NFIB off to a good start. But I was disturbed by the statement in response to question 7 that "the production of finished intelligence on the brigade is keyed to the receipt of significant new information on Soviet activities in Cuba." Aren't we falling into the same trap that caused problems in the first place: i.e. failure to question analytical assumptions and heavy reliance on current intelligence cause us to look at an issue. I'd appreciate your thoughts on the kind of research program we should undertake on the brigade and the Soviet-Cuban relationship in general."

25X1

25X1A

Attachments As stated